

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/396,407	09/15/1999	DAVID H. KOIZUMI	2207/6657	7402	
7:	590 01/03/2003				
JOHN C. ALTILLER KENYON & KENYON 1500 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON DG. 20005			EXAMINER		
			AZARIAN, SEYED H		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

F



Applicant(s)

David H. Koizumi

Office Action Summary

09/396,407 Examiner

Application No.

Seyed Azarian

2625



<u>-</u> .	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with th	he correspondence address
	or Reply			
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).			
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimy and will expire SIX the application to b	num of thirty (6) MONTHS	(30) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. JOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 4, 20	02		
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action	ion is non-final		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	•		· ·
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-30		4-3-0	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		3 2 + 0.0	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>			is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
8) 🗀	Claims		are subjec	ct to restriction and/or election requirement
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Sep 15, 1999 is/arc	ea∏ accept	ed or box	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be he	ld in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	i:	s:aົ⊡ a	approved 🛍 disapproved by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office ac	tion.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	5 U.S.C. 9	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:			
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d.	
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d in Appli	ication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	-		
14)□ a)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
15)	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachme		priority under	20 0.0.0	. 33 . 23 (110/3) . 12 (1
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-	-413) Paper No(s)
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Int	ormal Patent	Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/396,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

1

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants, response filed, 11/4/2002, has been entered and made of record.

2. Applicants, response with regard to claims 1-30, have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-22 and 24-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being <u>unpatentable over</u> Inokuchi (U.S. patent 3,819,857) in view of Root et al (U.S.5,600,781).

Regarding claim 1, Inokuchi discloses, an apparatus for storage of information, comprising: magnetic ink having a stored information signal, (see Fig. 10, column 6, lines 22-30, the outputs of three bits from comparators 104, are temporarily "store" in a first register).

However Inokuchi. Fail to disclose "magnetic ink stored information". On the other hand Root et al in the same filed of magnetic ink teaches (column 5, lines 57-67, handwriting information is stored in a standardized "magnetic ink" format).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Inokuchi invention according to the teaching of Root et al

Application/Control Number: 09/396,407

Art Unit: 2625

because by providing data information, which can easily transportable among computer system, it implements in unique identification and description to user for better result.

Regarding claim 2, Inokuchi discloses, the magnetic ink of claim 1, wherein the stored information signal, (see column 7, lines 32-40, refer to input signal and sine waveform).

Regarding claim 3, Inokuchi discloses, the magnetic ink of claim 1, wherein the stored information signal includes a digital information signal, (see column 3, lines 31-40, refer to digital output).

Regarding claim 4, Inokuchi discloses, the magnetic ink of claim 1, wherein the stored information signal includes a time-varying frequency signal, (see column 6, lines 8-17, refer to time delay).

Regarding claim 5, Inokuchi discloses, a magnetic information storage structure, comprising: a surface; and magnetic ink applied to the surface, said magnetic ink magnetized such as to contain an encoded information signal, (see column 3, lines 64-67, refer to encoding).

Regarding claim 6, Inokuchi discloses, a magnetic ink encoding stylus, comprising: a penpoint adapted to apply magnetic ink to a surface, (see column 9, lines 1-7, refer to surface).

And a magnetic ink write head, coupled to the penpoint and adapted to apply a varying magnetic flux to the magnetic ink as it is applied by the penpoint to the surface, (see column 3, lines 46-52, refer to magnetic flux).

Regarding claim 7, Inokuchi discloses, the apparatus of claim 6, wherein the magnetic ink write head includes, a magnetic field generator, and a magnetic shield, (see abstracts refer to magnetic rod and magnetic coil).

Application/Control Number: 09/396,407 Page 4

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 8, Inokuchi discloses, the apparatus of claim 7, wherein the magnetic field generator includes a magnetic coil, (see column 7, lines 49-56, refer to sensing coil).

Regarding claim 18, Inokuchi discloses, the apparatus of claim 17, further comprising a direction sensor coupled to the encoding electronics, (see column 3, lines 53-60, refer to magnetic direction).

Regarding claims 9-17, 19-22 and 24-30, the arguments analogous to those presented for claims above are applicable.

5. Claim 23, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as applied to claim above, and further in view of Cobbley et al (U.S. patent 5,546,538).

Regarding claim 23, Inokuchi and Root et al filed to discloses "handwriting recognition".

On the other hand Cobbley et al teaches (column 3, lines 26-32, the portable computer device analyzes each line written by the user using handwriting recognition algorithm).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Inokuchi and Root et al invention according to the teaching of Cobbley et al because it is conventional method which can easily be implements in an image device and expedite identify the existence of the desired handwriting recognition.

Application/Control Number: 09/396,407 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Root et al (U.S. patent 5,600,781).

Regarding claim 1, Root et al discloses: an apparatus for storage of information, comprising: magnetic ink having a stored information signal, (see Fig. 3, column 5, line 57-67, refer to handwriting information is stored in a standardized "magnetic ink" format).

Contact Information

➤ Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Application/Control Number: 09/396,407

Art Unit: 2625

Page 6

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, ("draft" or "informal" communications should be clearly labeled to expedite delivery to examiner).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to T.C. customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Seyed Azarian

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2625 December. 22, 2002 Jayanti K. Patel rimary Examiner